

## **Disentangled Contrastive Learning on Graphs**

Haoyang Li<sup>1</sup>, Xin Wang<sup>1</sup>, Ziwei Zhang<sup>1</sup>, Zehuan Yuan<sup>2</sup>, Hang Li<sup>2</sup>, Wenwu Zhu<sup>1</sup>

<sup>1</sup>Tsinghua University, <sup>2</sup>ByteDance

## **Graph Structured Data is Ubiquitous**

#### **Social Network**



#### **Knowledge Graph**



### **Traffic Network**



**Internet of Things** 



### **Information Network**



Biology Network

## **Graph Neural Networks**

GNNs generally adopt a neighborhood aggregation paradigm.



 Most famous GNNs are trained end-to-end with task-specific labels, which could be extremely scarce for some graph datasets.

## **Self-supervised Learning on Graphs**

Graph Contrastive Learning



holistic scheme

# **Disentangled Graph Contrastive Learning**

• The formation of a graph is typically driven by *many latent factors*.



- Existing methods characterize graphs as a perceptual whole.
  - The learned representations contain a mixture of entangled factors.
  - They may lead to suboptimal performance and harm the explainability.

## **Model Framework**



## **Experimental Results**

### Graph classification performance

					and the second second				
	MUTAG	PTC-MR	PROTEINS	NCI1	IMDB-B	IMDB-M	RDT-B	RDT-M5K	COLLAB
SP	85.2±2.4	$58.2 \pm 2.4$	75.1±0.5	73.0±0.2	55.6±0.2	$38.0 \pm 0.3$	64.1±0.1	39.6±0.2	-
GK	$81.7 \pm 2.1$	57.3±1.4	$71.7 {\pm} 0.6$	$62.3 \pm 0.3$	65.9±1.0	$43.9 \pm 0.4$	77.3±0.2	$41.0 \pm 0.2$	$72.8 \pm 0.3$
WL	80.7±3.0	$58.0 {\pm} 0.5$	$72.9 \pm 0.6$	$80.0 {\pm} 0.5$	$72.3 \pm 3.4$	$47.0 \pm 0.5$	$68.8 {\pm} 0.4$	$46.1 \pm 0.2$	-
DGK	87.4±2.7	$60.1 \pm 2.6$	$73.3 \pm 0.8$	$80.3 {\pm} 0.5$	$67.0 {\pm} 0.6$	$44.6 \pm 0.5$	$78.0 {\pm} 0.4$	$41.3 \pm 0.2$	$73.1 \pm 0.3$
MLG	87.9±1.6	$63.3{\pm}1.5$	$76.1 \pm 2.0$	$80.8\pm1.3$	$66.6{\pm}0.3$	$41.2 {\pm} 0.0$	-	-	-
node2vec	72.6±10.2	$58.6 \pm 8.0$	57.5±3.6	54.9±1.6	-	-	-	-	-
sub2vec	61.1±15.8	$60.0 \pm 6.4$	$53.0 \pm 5.6$	$52.8 \pm 1.5$	55.3±1.5	$36.7 \pm 0.8$	$71.5 \pm 0.4$	$36.7 \pm 0.4$	-
graph2vec	83.2±9.3	$60.2 \pm 6.9$	$73.3 \pm 2.1$	$73.2 \pm 1.8$	$71.1 \pm 0.5$	$50.4 \pm 0.9$	$75.8 {\pm} 1.0$	$47.9 \pm 0.3$	-
GVAE	87.7±0.7	$61.2 \pm 1.8$	-	-	$70.7 \pm 0.7$	$49.3 \pm 0.4$	$87.1 \pm 0.1$	$52.8 \pm 0.2$	-
InfoGraph	$89.0 \pm 1.1$	$61.7 \pm 1.4$	$74.4 \pm 0.3$	$76.2 \pm 1.1$	$73.0 {\pm} 0.9$	$49.7 \pm 0.5$	$82.5 \pm 1.4$	$53.5 \pm 1.0$	$70.7 \pm 1.1$
GCC	-	-	-	-	72.0	49.4	89.8	53.7	78.9
MVGRL	89.7±1.1	$62.5 \pm 1.7$	-	-	$74.2 \pm 0.7$	$51.2 \pm 0.5$	$84.5 \pm 0.6$	-	-
GraphCL	86.8±1.3	$63.6 \pm 1.8$	$74.4 {\pm} 0.5$	$77.9 \pm 0.4$	$71.1 \pm 0.4$	$50.7 \pm 0.4$	$89.5 {\pm} 0.8$	$56.0 \pm 0.3$	$71.4 \pm 1.2$
DGCL	92.1±0.2	65.8±1.5	76.4±0.5	$81.9{\pm}0.2$	$75.9 {\pm} 0.7$	$51.9{\pm}0.4$	92.7±0.2	56.1±0.2	$81.2{\pm}0.3$



unsupervised setting

semi-supervised setting

## **Experimental Results**

Feature correlation analysis



## Conclusions

- This paper proposes a disentangled graph contrastive learning method.
- This paper proposes a disentangled graph encoder and factorwise contrastive learning approach.
- Extensive experiments demonstrate the superiority of the method.

# **Thanks!**



Haoyang Li, Tsinghua University lihy18@mails.tsinghua.edu.cn

Disentangled Contrastive Learning on Graphs